

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,639	10/11/2001	Patricia B. Smith	TI-29811	: 8363	
23494 75	590 05/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER .	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			HOANG, QUOC DINH		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2818	- <u>-</u>	
			DATE MAN ED OCHODOO	_	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$H \cdot V$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Anti- u Occasion	09/975,639	SMITH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quoc D. Hoang	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet i	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17	February 2005.		
,	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·		3
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-13,30-39 and 51-68 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdenses 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-13,30-39 and 51-68 are subjected.	rawn from consideration.	on requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a contract that any objection to the	ccepted or b) 🗌 objected to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ection is required if the drawir	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	i).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Tiority documents have bee Beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∧ □ 1=1==::::	Cummany (DTO 442)	•
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	r Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner would like to withdraw the Final Rejection on 10/01/2004. The indicated allowability of claims 51-59 is withdrawn. Claims 1, 5-13, 30-39 and 51-68 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a first embodiment, shown in figure 1 and page 6 line 1 through page 13 line 6 and a second embodiment, shown in figure 3 and page 13 line 7 through page 16 line 18.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/975,639

Art Unit: 2818

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) of one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of the inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-1780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8.00 AM to 5.00 PM.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone numbers of

Application/Control Number: 09/975,639

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

an

Quoc Hoang Patent examiner/AU 2818

> Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800